4

KARL MARX AND FRIEDRICH ENGELS The Communist Manifesto, 1848

The Communist Manifesto was written in 1848 in the midst of European upheaval, a time when capitalist industrialization had spread from England to France and Germany. Marx and Engels were Germans who studied and worked in France and England. In the Manifesto, they

Source: Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, *The Communist Manifesto* (1888; Boston Bedie St. Martin's, 1999), 65-72.

nagine a revolution that will transform all of Europe. What do they eas the inevitable causes of this revolution? How, according to her analysis, is the crisis of "modern" society different from previous were Marx and Engels correct?

FUNKING HISTORICALLY

lor ce how Marx and Engels describe the notions of capitalism and industrialization without using those words. The term capitalism reveloped later from Marx's classic Das Kapital (1859), but the term concessie,* as Engels notes in this selection, stands for the capitalist lass. For Marx and Engels, the industrial revolution (another later chase) is the product of a particular stage of capitalist development. Thus, if Marx and Engels were asked whether capitalism or industry as the principal force that created the modern world, what would they answer be?

The Communist Manifesto is widely known as the classic critique of capitalism, but a careful reading reveals a list of achievements of capitalist or "bourgeois civilization." What are these achievements? Old Marx and Engels consider them to be achievements? How could Marx and Engels both praise and criticize capitalism?

Courgeois and Proletarians¹

Treeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, lord and serf, guildtreeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, lord and serf, guildtaster and journeyman, in a word, oppressor and oppressed, stood in constant opposition to one another, carried on an uninterrupted, now stades, now open fight, a fight that each time ended, either in a revoluculary reconstitution of society at large, or in the common ruin of the streading classes.

In the earlier epochs of history, we find almost everywhere a compliled arrangement of society into various orders, a manifold gradation (accept rank. In ancient Rome we have patricians, knights, plebeians, (aves, in the Middle Ages, feudal lords, vassals, guildmasters, journeyapprentices, serfs; in almost all of these classes, again, subordinate (adations.)

I www.dwah ZFF

in French bourgeois means a town-dweller. Proletarian comes from the Latin proleshich meant a person whose sole wealth was his offspring (proles). [Ed.] [Note by By bourgeoisie" is meant the class of modern capitalists, owners of the means of social issue and employers of wage labor; by "proletariat," the class of modern wage-laborers by ing no means of production of their own, are reduced to selling their labor power in the labor power in the class of modern wage-laborers.

The modern bourgeois society that has sprouted from the rong feudal society, has not done away with class antagonisms. It has established new classes, new conditions of oppression, new form struggle in place of the old ones.

Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeoisie, possesses, however, the tinctive feature: It has simplified the class antagonisms. Society as a war is more and more splitting up into the two great hostile camps, more great classes directly facing each other—bourgeoisie and proletariat

From the serfs of the Middle Ages sprang the chartered burghes the earliest towns. From these burgesses the first elements of the bar

geoisie were developed.

The discovery of America, the rounding of the Cape, opened fresh ground for the rising bourgeoisie. The East-Indian and China markets, the colonization of America, trade with the colonies, the crease in the means of exchange and in commodities generally, gave commerce, to navigation, to industry, an impulse never before known and thereby, to the revolutionary element in the tottering feudal social a rapid development.

The feudal system of industry, in which industrial production monopolized by closed guilds, now no longer sufficed for the grown wants of the new markets. The manufacturing system took its place. guildmasters were pushed aside by the manufacturing middle class; de sion of labor between the different corporate guilds vanished in the fac

of division of labor in each single workshop.

Meantime the markets kept ever growing, the demand ever rise Even manufacture2 no longer sufficed. Thereupon, steam and macing ery revolutionized industrial production. The place of manufacture taken by the giant, modern industry, the place of the industrial middle class, by industrial millionaires—the leaders of whole industrial armies the modern bourgeois.

Modern industry has established the world market, for which dediscovery of America paved the way. This market has given an immessi development to commerce, to navigation, to communication by land This development has, in its turn, reacted on the extension of inde try; and in proportion as industry, commerce, navigation, railways tended, in the same proportion the bourgeoisie developed, increased capital, and pushed into the background every class handed down fro the Middle Ages.

We see, therefore, how the modern bourgeoisie is itself the product of a long course of development, of a series of revolutions in the mode of production and of exchange.

² By manufacture Marx meant the system of production that succeeded the guild sest but that still relied mainly on direct human labor for power. He distinguished it from most industry, which arose when machinery driven by water and steam was introduced. Fed.

fach step in the development of the bourgeoisie was accompanied by proposed political advance of that class. An oppressed class under any of the feudal nobility, it became an armed and self-governing action in the medieval commune; here independent urban republic (trily and Germany), there taxable "third estate" of the monarchy afterwards, in the period of manufacture proper, services the semifeudal or the absolute monarchy as a counterpoise of the nobility; and, in fact, cornerstone of the great monarchies the hourgeoisie has at last, since the establishment of modern and of the world market, conquered for itself, in the modern entative state, exclusive political sway. The executive of the modern to bourgeoisie.

The bourgeoisie has played a most revolutionary role in history.

The bourgeoisie, wherever it has got the upper hand, has put an end feudal, patriarchal, idyllic relations. It has pitilessly torn asunder semaler feudal ties that bound man to his "natural superiors," and has to other bond between man and man than naked self-interest, than loss "cash payment." It has drowned the most heavenly ecstasies of electors fervor, of chivalrous enthusiasm, of philistine sentimentalism, the icy water of egotistical calculation. It has resolved personal worth exchange value, and in place of the numberless indefensible charted freedoms, has set up that single, unconscionable freedom—Free rade. In one word, for exploitation, veiled by religious and political than the substituted naked, shameless, direct, brutal exploitation.

The bourgeoisie has stripped of its halo every occupation hitherto exored and looked up to with reverent awe. It has converted the physian, the lawyer, the priest, the poet, the man of science, into its paid

The bourgeoisie has torn away from the family its sentimental veil,

has reduced the family relation to a mere money relation.

The bourgeoisie has disclosed how it came to pass that the brutal pass of vigor in the Middle Ages, which reactionaries so much the found its fitting complement in the most slothful indolence. It been the first to show what man's activity can bring about. It has samplished wonders far surpassing Egyptian pyramids, Roman aquesta and Gothic cathedrals; it has conducted expeditions that put in stade all former migrations of nations and crusades.

The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing assuments of production, and thereby the relations of production, with them the whole relations of society. Conservation of the old ades of production in unaltered form, was, on the contrary, the first addition of existence for all earlier industrial classes. Constant revolutions of production, uninterrupted disturbance of all social conditions, everlasting uncertainty and agitation distinguished the bourgeois

epoch from all earlier ones. All fixed, fast-frozen relations, with train of ancient and venerable prejudices and opinions, are swept all new-formed ones become antiquated before they can ossify. All is solid melts into air, all that is holy is profaned, and man is at compelled to face with sober senses his real conditions of life and relations with his kind.

The need of a constantly expanding market for its products chathe bourgeoisie over the whole surface of the globe. It must nestle everywhere, settle everywhere, establish connections everywhere.

The bourgeoisie has through its exploitation of the world ket given a cosmopolitan character to production and consumpt in every country. To the great chagrin of reactionaries, it has draw from under the feet of industry the national ground on which it st. All old-established national industries have been destroyed or are da being destroyed. They are dislodged by new industries, whose income duction becomes a life and death question for all civilized nations industries that no longer work up indigenous raw material, but a material drawn from the remotest zones; industries whose products consumed, not only at home, but in every quarter of the globe. In pine of the old wants, satisfied by the production of the country, we to new wants, requiring for their satisfaction the products of distant land and climes. In place of the old local and national seclusion and sellusion anative and sellusion and sellusion and sellusion and sellusion and sufficiency, we have intercourse in every direction, universal interdes dence of nations. And as in material, so also in intellectual producted The intellectual creations of individual nations become common proerty. National one-sidedness and narrow-mindedness become more and more impossible, and from the numerous national and local literature there arises a world literature.

The bourgeoisie, by the rapid improvement of all instruments of production, by the immensely facilitated means of communication, draws nations, even the most barbarian, into civilization. The cheap prices of a commodities are the heavy artillery with which it batters down all Chreswalls, with which it forces the barbarians' intensely obstinate hatred to foreigners to capitulate. It compels all nations, on pain of extinction, and adopt the bourgeois mode of production; it compels them to introduce what it calls civilization into their midst, i.e., to become bourgeois them selves. In a word, it creates a world after its own image.

The bourgeoisie has subjected the country to the rule of the town. It has created enormous cities, has greatly increased the urban population as compared with the rural, and has thus rescued a considerable part of the population from the idiocy of rural life. Just as it has made the country dependent on the towns, so it has made barbarian and sembarbarian countries dependent on the civilized ones, nations of peasant on nations of bourgeois, the East on the West.

dore and more the bourgeoisie keeps doing away with the scattered of the population, of the means of production, and of property. It reglomerated population, centralized means of production, and has remarked property in a few hands. The necessary consequence of political centralization. Independent, or but loosely connected species, with separate interests, laws, governments and systems of persons, became lumped together into one nation, with one governments one code of laws, one national class interest, one frontier and one tariff.

The bourgeoisie, during its rule of scarce one hundred years, has need more massive and more colossal productive forces than have preceding generations together. Subjection of nature's forces to man, chinery, application of chemistry to industry and agriculture, steam-regation, railways, electric telegraphs, clearing of whole continents cultivation, canalization of rivers, whole populations conjured out the ground—what earlier century had even a presentiment that such

seastive forces slumbered in the lap of social labor?

We see then that the means of production and of exchange, which excel as the foundation for the growth of the bourgeoisie, were general in feudal society. At a certain stage in the development of these means of production and of exchange, the conditions under which feudal society produced and exchanged, the feudal organization of agriculture and manufacturing industry, in a word, the feudal relations of the produced and exchanged with the already developed protocology became no longer compatible with the already developed protocology they became so many fetters. They had to be burst asunces, they were burst asunder.

Into their place stepped free competition, accompanied by a social and political constitution adapted to it, and by the economic and political constitution adapted to it, and by the economic and political constitution adapted to it, and by the economic and political constitution adapted to it.

ed way of the bourgeois class.

A similar movement is going on before our own eyes. Modern surgeois society with its relations of production, of exchange and of toperty, a society that has conjured up such gigantic means of production and exchange, is like the sorcerer who is no longer able to control the exist of the nether world whom he has called up by his spells. For many cade past the history of industry and commerce is but the history of the exist of modern productive forces against modern conditions of productive against the property relations that are the conditions for the existence bourgeoisie and of its rule. It is enough to mention the commercial cross that by their periodical return put the existence of the entire part not only of the existing products, but also of the previously productive forces, are periodically destroyed. In these crises there will be productive forces, are periodically destroyed. In these crises there existence of overproduction. Society suddenly finds itself

put back into a state of momentary barbarism; it appears as if a fame universal war of devastation had cut off the supply of every means of sistence; industry and commerce seem to be destroyed. And why? Be there is too much civilization, too much means of subsistence too industry, too much commerce. The productive forces at the disposal ciety no longer tend to further the development of the conditions of geois property; on the contrary, they have become too powerful for conditions, by which they are fettered, and no sooner do they over these fetters than they bring disorder into the whole of bourgeois sa endanger the existence of bourgeois property. The conditions of hours society are too narrow to comprise the wealth created by them. And does the bourgeoisie get over these crises? On the one hand by enforce destruction of a mass of productive forces; on the other, by the conquest new markets, and by the more thorough exploitation of the old ones. is to say, by paving the way for more extensive and more destructive con and by diminishing the means whereby crises are prevented.

The weapons with which the bourgeoisie felled feudalism to a

ground are now turned against the bourgeoisie itself.

But not only has the bourgeoisie forged the weapons that be death to itself; it has also called into existence the men who are to was those weapons—the modern working class—the proletarians.

In proportion as the bourgeoisie, i.e., capital, is developed, in the same proportion is the proletariat, the modern working class, developed class of labourers, who live only so long as they find work, and w find work only so long as their labour increases capital. These labour who must sell themselves piece-meal, are a commodity, like every orbarticle of commerce, and are consequently exposed to all the vicissitude

of competition, to all the fluctuations of the market.

Owing to the extensive use of machinery and to division of labour the work of the proletarians has lost all individual character, and conquently, all charm for the workman. He becomes an appendage of demachine, and it is only the most simple, most monotonous, and most easily acquired knack, that is required of him. Hence, the cost of produc tion of a workman is restricted, almost entirely, to the means of subtence that he requires for his maintenance, and for the propagation his race. But the price of a commodity, and therefore also of labour. equal to its cost of production. In proportion therefore, as the repulsion ness of the work increases, the wage decreases. Nay more, in proported as the use of machinery and division of labour increases, in the same proportion the burden of toil also increases, whether by prolongations the working hours, by increase of the work exacted in a given time of b increased speed of the machinery, etc.

Modern industry has converted the little workshop of the patrie chal master into the great factory of the industrial capitalist. Masse of labourers, crowded into the factory, are organised like soldies

the industrial army they are placed under the command of the hierarchy of officers and sergeants. Not only are they slaves of argeois class, and of the bourgeois State; they are daily and hourly do by the machine, by the over-looker, and, above all, by the indition fourgeois manufacturer himself. The more openly this despotism am gain to be its end and aim, the more petty, the more hateful more embittering it is.

less the skill and exertion of strength implied in manual labour, per words, the more modern industry becomes developed, the more about of men superseded by that of women. Differences of age and no longer any distinctive social validity for the working class. The instruments of labour, more or less expensive to use, according age and sex.

sooner is the exploitation of the labourer by the manufacturer, at an end, that he receives his wages in cash, than he is set upon the portions of the bourgeoisie, the landlord, the shopkeeper, with the control of the shopkeeper, with the control of the shopkeeper, and the control of the control

The lower strata of the middle class—the small tradespeople, and expers, retired tradesmen generally, the handicraftsmen and conts—all these sink gradually into the proletariat, partly because diminutive capital does not suffice for the scale on which Modern distry is carried on, and is swamped in the competition with the large enalists, partly because their specialized skill is rendered worthless by methods of production. Thus the proletariat is recruited from classes of the population.