7

TOUSSAINT L'OUVERTURE

Letter to the Directory, 1797

When the French revolutionaries proclaimed the Declaration of the Rights of Man and Citizen in 1789, the French colony of Saint-Domingue¹ (now Haiti) contained a half million African slaves, most of whom worked on the sugar plantations that made France one of the richest countries in the world. Thus, the French were confronted with the difficult problem of reconciling their enlightened principles with the extremely profitable, but fundamentally unequal, institution of slavery.

French revolutionaries remained locked in debate about this issue when in 1791, the slaves of Saint-Domingue organized a revolt that culminated in establishing Haiti's national independence twelve years later. François Dominique Toussaint L'Ouverture, * a self-educated Haitian slave, led the revolt and the subsequent battles against the French planter class and French armies, as well as the Spanish forces of neighboring Santo Domingo — the eastern side of the island now known as the Dominican Republic — and the antirevolutionary forces of Britain, all of whom yied for control of the island at the end of the eighteenth century.

¹ san doh MANG Santo Domingo was the Spanish name for the eastern half of Hispanicon the Dominican Republic). Saint-Domingue was the French name for the western has the island, now Haiti. San Domingo, which is used in the text, is a nineteenth-century about tion for Saint-Domingue. To further complicate matters, both the Spanish and French statimes used their term for the whole island of Hispaniola. Spain controlled the entire island in 1697, when the Spanish recognized French control of the west.

Source: Toussaint L'Ouverture, "Letter to the Directory, November 5, 1797;" in The Black Jacobins, ed. C. L. R. James (New York: Vintage Books, 1989), 195-97.

^{*} too SAN loo vehr TUR

At first Toussaint enjoyed the support of the revolutionary government in Paris; in the Decree of 16 Pluviôse² (1794) the National convention abolished slavery in the colonies. But after 1795 the revolution turned on itself, and Toussaint feared that the new conservagovernment, called the Directory, might send troops to restore support the island.

in 1797 he wrote the Directory the letter that follows. Notice how the same time and a difficult situation. How did he try to reassure government of his allegiance to France? At the same time, how he attempt to convince the Directory that a return to slavery was

nehinkable?

THINKING HISTORICALLY

signice how Toussaint defines different groups of people. What does he mean, for instance, by "the proprietors of San Domingo" as exposed to "the people of San Domingo"? What does he mean by the colonists" and "our common enemies"? How does the use of these terms aid his cause?

The impolitic and incendiary discourse of Vaublanc³ has not affected stacks nearly so much as their certainty of the projects which the societors of San Domingo are planning: insidious declarations should wave any effect in the eyes of wise legislators who have decreed libwere the nations. But the attempts on that liberty which the colonists were are all the more to be feared because it is with the veil of patrian that they cover their detestable plans. We know that they seek to **goes some of them on you by illusory and specious promises, in order** ex renewed in this colony its former scenes of horror. Already perfidiemissaries have stepped in among us to ferment the destructive prepared by the hands of liberticides. But they will not succeed. erar it by all that liberty holds most sacred. My attachment to France, cowledge of the blacks, make it my duty not to leave you ignorant of the crimes which they meditate or the oath that we renew, to courselves under the ruins of a country revived by liberty rather than the return of slavery.

h is for you, Citizens Directors, to turn from over our heads the matter which the eternal enemies of our liberty are preparing in the shades

Rainy; the name of the second winter month according to the revolu-

acent Marie Viénot, Count of Vaublanc (1756–1845). Born into an aristocratic family strango, he was a French royalist politician. In Paris in September 1797, he gave a scheded to impeach republican Directors and trigger a royalist coup. [Ed.]

of silence. It is for you to enlighten the legislature, it is for you to the enemies of the present system from spreading themselves unfortunate shores to sully it with new crimes. Do not allow our hers, our friends, to be sacrificed to men who wish to reign over the of the human species. But no, your wisdom will enable you to avoid dangerous snares which our common enemies hold out for you.

I send you with this letter a declaration which will acquaint you the unity that exists between the proprietors of San Domingo who France, those in the United States, and those who serve under the glish banner. You will see there a resolution, unequivocal and care constructed, for the restoration of slavery; you will see there the determination to succeed has led them to envelop themselves mantle of liberty in order to strike it more deadly blows. You will see they are counting heavily on my complacency in lending myself to perfidious views by my fear for my children. It is not astonishing these men who sacrifice their country to their interests are unabtered from the sacrifices a true love of country can support in the father than they, since I unhesitatingly base the happiness of my dren on that of my country, which they and they alone wish to desire

I shall never hesitate between the safety of San Domingo and personal happiness; but I have nothing to fear. It is to the solicing of the French Government that I have confided my children would tremble with horror if it was into the hands of the cole that I had sent them as hostages; but even if it were so, let them to that in punishing them for the fidelity of their father, they would add one degree more to their barbarism, without any hope of making me fail in my duty. . . . Blind as they are! They cannot how this odious conduct on their part can become the signal of disasters and irreparable misfortunes, and that far from making a regain what in their eyes liberty for all has made them lose, they pose themselves to a total ruin and the colony to its inevitable struction. Do they think that men who have been able to enjoy blessing of liberty will calmly see it snatched away? They support their chains only so long as they did not know any condition of more happy than that of slavery. But to-day when they have left they had a thousand lives they would sacrifice them all rather than forced into slavery again. But no, the same hand which has been our chains will not enslave us anew. France will not revoke her ciples, she will not withdraw from us the greatest of her benefits will protect us against all our enemies; she will not permit her lime morality to be perverted, those principles which do her honour to be destroyed, her most beautiful achievement to be graded, and her Decree of 16 Pluviôse which so honours humanit be revoked. But if, to re-establish slavery in San Domingo, this done, then I declare to you it would be to attempt the impossible

town how to face dangers to obtain our liberty, we shall know that to maintain it.

Citizens Directors, is the morale of the people of San Domingo, the principles that they transmit to you by me.

own you know. It is sufficient to renew, my hand in yours, the oath made, to cease to live before gratitude dies in my heart, before the faithful to France and to my duty, before the god of liberty is and sullied by the liberticides, before they can snatch from my sat sword, those arms, which France confided to me for the defence has and those of humanity, for the triumph of liberty and equality.

DIPESH CHAKRABARTY

Compassion and the Enlightenment, 2000

h Chakrabarty, Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Processor Studies in Culture/Power/History (Princeton: Princeton University 119-24.